

MOLLUSCUM CONTAGIOSUM RISK FACTORS IN ADULTS. A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

Gemma Martín-Ezquerro, Pablo García-Martínez, Marta Banqué*, Emili Masferrer, Alba Álvarez-Abella, Ramon M. Pujol**
Department of Dermatology, Hospital del Mar-Parc de Salut Mar, Barcelona, Spain. *Department of Epidemiology, Hospital del Mar-Parc de Salut Mar, Barcelona, Spain. **Department of Dermatology, Hospital General de Catalunya, Sant Cugat, Spain

Introduction

Risk factors for the development of *Molluscum contagiosum* (MC) in children have been widely studied. Swimming confers a double risk for the acquisition of MC and enhances the risk of more severe disease. Atopic dermatitis (AD) has also been a traditional risk factor among children. Epidemiological studies show higher prevalence of AD between children with MC. However, other studies failed to detect such a relationship.

In adults, risks factors have not been widely studied. It has been traditionally considered a “minor” sexual transmitted infection (STI). However, other risk factors have been reported. Villa et al related the presence of MC in adults to women taking oral contraceptives, non-tobacco users and HIV infection. Desruelles et al recently suggested a relationship between pubic hair removal and MC. Sharing bath sponges or towels with an individual infected by MC is also considered a risk factor. Condom use does not offer any protection for MC acquisition. In some patients the use of immunosuppressive drugs either topical corticosteroids or calcineurin inhibitors have been implicated as contributing factors. Tattoo instruments, beauty parlors, Turkish baths and the participation in contact sports have been also related to the disease.

Objective

The objective of the present is to describe the risk factors related to the acquisition of MC in adults.

Patients and methods

A case-control study was performed during a 12-month period from January 1st to December 31st 2013 among patients who attended our Department of Dermatology.

All consecutive adults (> 18 years old) who asked for medical advice for having MC were eligible for the study.

A control group was recruited randomly amongst the healthy accompanying people on the dermatological consulting area. Control subjects were individually paired with MC subjects according to sex and age.

An extensive questionnaire was administered to the participants. Questions regarding sexual health were responded confidentially by subjects or controls. Variables included in the study were sex, age, lifetime history of tobacco use, prior STIs, prior genital diseases, prior STI in the partner, prior MC in the partner or in nearly children, presence or prior atopic dermatitis, use of immunosuppressive drugs (either topical or systemic), number of total sexual partners, number of sexual partners one year before, condom use, use of pools and habit of pubic hair removal.

The approval for the study was provided by the *Comitè Ètic d'Investigació Clínica from l'Institut Mar d'Investigació Mèdica (CEIC-PSMAR)*.

Results

One hundred and two consecutive patients with the diagnosis of MC and one hundred and nine controls, who agreed to participate and completed the questionnaire were included in the study. Approximately 70% of eligible control patients agreed to participate. There were no differences in age and sex between cases and controls.

The results are summarized in Table 1.

Univariate analysis revealed that the presence of MC in adults was significantly associated with the antecedent of a previous STI (p:0.04) or an illness of the genital area (p:0.03), the presence of MC in the partner (p:0.05) and the pool usage (p<0.01).
--

No differences could be related to MSM sexual intercourses, the condom use, the presence of atopic dermatitis, the intimate contact with children, the habit of pubic hair removal or a past history of ITS in the partner. No relation could be detected between either the total number of sexual partners or the last year sexual contacts. Eleven patients and seven controls referred the use of topical immunosuppressive drugs, and no differences were detected between MC patients and controls. However, it is interesting to note, that facial lesions were present among the 15 patients who used immunosuppressive drugs and in 33% of HIV infected patients.

Discussion

Our study focused on reviewing the epidemiological risk factors related to the acquisition of MC in adults. Referring to sexual habits, we did not find differences between the number of total sexual partners or last year sexual partners, or the presence of a STI in the partner. In contrast to Becker et al who reported a higher prevalence among heterosexual men and bi- or homosexual women, in our study we not find differences between the heterosexual and homosexual groups of patients, which are also in agreement with previous studies. The presence of a previous STI or an illness of the genital area were related to the acquisition of MC. In our series, 17.8% of patients with MC reported a previous STI. Similar results were described by Radcliffe et al, who reported even a higher prevalence, observing that 30.4 % of men and 32.1% of women with MC had a concomitant STI. The prevalence of HIV infection in patients with MC was higher in the study from Villa et al when compared with our results (6.5% vs 3.9%). A potential relationship between MC and immunosuppression was also investigated. Four MC cases developed in immunosuppressed patients due to a known HIV infection. Among those cases with a known persistent immunosuppression (HIV or patients under immunosuppressive drug treatment -either corticosteroids or calcineurin inhibitors) the infection was localized more often in the face (5 out of 15 cases). A possible relation between MC appearance and the use of topical immunosuppressive drugs has been previously described. We also focused on pubic hair removal, since recently some authors have postulated a possible relationship with MC acquisition that could explain the rise in the incidence of the disease among young adults. We did not find statistical differences between pubic hair removal between patients and controls, suggesting that this very common practice (97 out of 179: 54% in our series) cannot be significantly related to the development of MC.

Limitations

The reduced size of the evaluated sample size and secondly a high rate of refusals in the healthy control group (30%) could contribute to the lack of detection of significant differences. Our study does not go into detail about swimming pool practices, whether if patients use public or private ones, the presence of kids in them who might be affected of MC or the use of towels or sponges which may act as fomites. Neither goes further into asking about differences in pubic hair removal practices (shaving, clipping, waxing...) that could have been of help in casting some light on these issues.

Conclusions

In conclusion, MC in adults could be considered in some instances a sexual transmitted disease. The frequent history of a previous STI, the observation of MC lesions in sexual contacts and the possible association of HIV infection seem to support this concept. However, other risk factors, not related to STI, seem also to be significant and deserve further investigation, such as the pool usage or immunosuppression.

References

1. Diven DG. An overview of poxviruses. J Am Acad Dermatol 2001;44:1-16.

2. Hanson D, Diven DG. Molluscum contagiosum. Dermatol Online J 2003;9:2.

3. Niizeki K, Hashimoto K. Treatment of molluscum contagiosum with silver nitrate paste. Pediatr Dermatol 1999;16:395-7.

4. Chen X, Anstey AV, Bugert JJ. Molluscum contagiosum virus infection. Lancet Infect Dis 2013;13:877-88.

5. Tying SK. Molluscum contagiosum: the importance of early diagnosis and treatment. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003;189:S12-6.

6. Gottlieb SL, Myskowski PL. Molluscum contagiosum. Int J Dermatol 1994;33:453-61.

7. Villa L, Varela JA, Otero L, et al. Molluscum contagiosum: A 20-year study in a sexually transmitted infections unit. Sex Transm Dis 2010;37:423-4.

8. Olsen JR, Gallacher J, Piguet V, et al. Epidemiology of molluscum contagiosum in children: a systematic review. Fam Pract 2014;31:130-6.

9. Choong KY, Roberts LJ. Molluscum contagiosum, swimming and bathing: a clinical analysis. Australas J Dermatol 1999;40:89-92.

10. Kakourou T, Zachariades A, Anastasiou T, et al. Molluscum contagiosum in Greek children: a case series. Int J Dermatol. 2005;44:221-3.

11. Dohil MA, Lin P, Lee Jet al. The epidemiology of molluscum contagiosum in children. J Am Acad Dermatol 2006;54:47-54.

12. Hayashida S, Furusho N, Uchi H, et al. Are lifetime prevalence of impetigo, molluscum and herpes infection really increased in children having atopic dermatitis? J Dermatol Sci 2010;60:173-8.

13. Desruelles F, Cunningham SA, Dubois D. Pubic hair removal: a risk factor for 'minor' STI such as molluscum contagiosum? Sex Transm Infect 2013;89:216.

14. Choong KY, Roberts LJ. Molluscum contagiosum, swimming and bathing: a clinical analysis. Australas J Dermatol 1999;40:89-92.

15. Shlay JC, McClung MW, Patnaik JL, et al. Comparison of sexually transmitted disease prevalence by reported level of condom use among patients attending an urban sexually transmitted disease clinic. Sex Transm Dis 2004;31:154-60.

16. Goksugur N, Ozbostanci B, Goksugur SB. Molluscum contagiosum infection associated with pimecrolimus use in pityriasis alba. Pediatr Dermatol 2007;24:E63-5.

17. Postlethwaite R. Molluscum contagiosum. Arch Environ Health 1970;21:432-52.

18. Pleacher MD, Dexter WW. Cutaneous fungal and viral infections in athletes. Clin Sports Med 2007;26:397-411.

19. Koning S, Bruijnzeels MA, van Suijlekom-Smit LW, et al. Molluscum contagiosum in Dutch general practice. Br J Gen Pract 19:417-9.

20. Becker TM, Blount JH, Douglas J, et al. Trends in molluscum contagiosum in the United States, 1966-1983. Sex Transm Dis 1986;13:88-92.

21. Radcliffe KW, Daniels D, Evans BA. Molluscum contagiosum: a neglected sentinel infection.Int J STD AIDS 1991;2:416-8.

22. Shlay JC, McClung MW, Patnaik JL, et al. Comparison of sexually transmitted disease prevalence by reported level of condom use among patients attending an urban sexually transmitted disease clinic. Sex Transm Dis 2004;31:154-60.

Table 1- Comparison table between the variables included in the study among patients with MC and healthy controls.

		CASES n (%)	CONTROLS n (%)	p VALUE
Sex	Men	52 (51)	49 (44,9)	0.58
	Women	50 (49)	60 (55,1)	
Localization	Genital area	59 (57,8)		
	Trunk	12 (11,7)		
	Head and neck	17 (16,6)		
	Lower extremities	15 (14,7)		
	More than one localization	6 (5,8)		
	Non data	2 (1,9)		
Serological screening tests for HIV and <i>Treponema pallidum</i>	Studied	45		
	Negative	41		
	Previous known HIV	4		
	New HIV	0		
	Not studied	57		
Previous STI	Yes	18 (17,8)	9 (8,3)	0,04
	No	83 (82,2)	100 (91,7)	
	Non data	1	0	
Previous illness on the genital area	Yes	4 (4,9)	18 (16,5)	0,03
	No	96 (96,0)	91 (83,5)	
	Non data	2	0	
Sexual practices	Homosexual	15 (14,7)	8 (7,4)	0,12
	Heterosexual	87 (85,3)	100 (92,6)	
	Non data	0	1	
Immunosuppressive drugs (either topical or systemic)	Yes	11 (11,5)	7 (6,4)	0,54
	No	85 (88,5)	102 (93,6)	
	Non data	6	0	
Pool usage	Yes	41 (40,6)	16 (14,7)	<0,01
	No	60 (59,4)	93 (85,3)	
	Non data	1	0	
Condom use	Yes	57 (56,4)	58 (69,1)	0,54
	No	45 (44,6)	36 (38,3)	
	Non data	2	15	
Children frequent contact	Yes	35 (34,3)	48 (44,4)	0,16
	No	67 (65,7)	60 (55,6)	
	Non data	0	1	
History of atopic dermatitis	Yes	3 (8,3)	10 (9,3)	0,9
	No	33 (91,7)	97 (90,7)	
	Non data	66	2	
Hair removal on the last 3 months	Yes	41 (53,2)	56 (54,9)	0,49
	No	36 (46,8)	46 (45,1)	
	Non data	29	7	
Partner with MC	Yes	11 (10,9)	3 (3,2)	0,05
	No	90 (89,1)	90 (96,8)	
	Non data	1	16	
Partner with ITS	Yes	3 (3,0)	1 (1,1)	0,62
	No	97 (97,0)	91 (98,1)	
	Non data	2	17	

	CASES mean (SD)	CONTROLS mean (SD)	p VALUE
Age	32 (11.4)	33 (10.2)	0.55
Number of lesions	10 (10.5)		
Number of sexual partners	8.2 (17.6)	6.2 (5.9)	0.2
Number of last year sexual partners	2,3 (5.6)	1.4 (2.1)	0.15

